

Pauli-GKLS Hybrid Master Equations

Lajos Diósi

Wigner Center, Budapest

10 June 2016, Torun



Acknowledgements go to:

EU COST Action MP1209 'Thermodynamics in the quantum regime'

- 1 Prelude: before I learned about CP & GKLS
- 2 Hybrid
- 3 Hybrid density
- 4 Measurement
- 5 Canonical hybrid dynamics?
- 6 Hybrid dynamics
- 7 Hybrid ME: Derivation
- 8 Case study: Q-monitoring
- 9 Summary

Prelude: before I learned about CP & GKLS

Master Eq. for positive dynamical semigroup: $d\hat{\rho}/dt = \mathcal{L}\hat{\rho}$.

For pure initial state $\hat{\rho} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| \equiv \hat{P}$:

$$d\hat{P}/dt = \mathcal{L}\hat{P} = -i\hat{H}_\Psi\hat{P} + i\hat{P}\hat{H}_\Psi^\dagger + \hat{W}_\Psi$$

Effective Hamiltonian: $-i\hat{H}_\Psi = \mathcal{L}\hat{P} - \langle\mathcal{L}\hat{P}\rangle_\Psi$

Transition rate operator: $\hat{W}_\Psi = \mathcal{L}\hat{P} - \{\mathcal{L}\hat{P}, \hat{P}\} + \langle\mathcal{L}\hat{P}\rangle_\Psi\hat{P} \geq 0$

Ito-Stochastic Schrodinger Eq. for all P-preserving ME's (D. 1986):

$$d\Psi = -i\hat{H}_\Psi|\Psi\rangle dt + d|\Phi\rangle \quad d|\Phi\rangle d\langle\Phi| = \hat{W}_\Psi dt$$

Example of non-CP but P ME: $d\hat{\rho}/dt = \mathcal{L}\hat{\rho} = \sum_k c_k(\hat{\sigma}_k\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}_k - \hat{\rho})$

$c_1=1, c_2=1, c_3=-1$ (Benatti & al. 2002)

$$\hat{H}_\Psi = -\frac{1}{2} \sum c_k(\hat{\sigma}_k - \langle\hat{\sigma}_k\rangle)^2; \quad \hat{W}_\Psi = \sum c_k(\hat{\sigma}_k - \langle\hat{\sigma}_k\rangle)\hat{P}(\hat{\sigma}_k - \langle\hat{\sigma}_k\rangle)$$

Hybrid

- Dynamical coupling between Classical and Quantum (Aleksandrov 1981)
- Coupling between measurement device and Quantum (Sherry & Sudarshan 1978)
- Foundational coexistence between Classical and Quantum (D. 1998)

Mathematics:

	Classical	Quantum	Hybrid
State:	$\rho(x)$	$\hat{\rho}$	$\hat{\rho}(x)$
Dynamics:	Pauli	GKLS	?

Hybrid density

Simplest construction: $\{\rho(x) \text{ and } \hat{\rho}\} \Rightarrow \rho(x)\hat{\rho} \equiv \hat{\rho}(x)$

Generically:

$$\text{any } \hat{\rho}(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x; \quad \text{tr} \sum_x \hat{\rho}(x) = 1.$$

Reduced Q	Reduced C	Conditional Q	Conditional C
$\hat{\rho} = \sum_x \hat{\rho}(x)$	$\rho(x) = \text{tr} \hat{\rho}(x)$	$\hat{\rho}_x = \hat{\rho}(x) / \rho(x)$	\bar{A}

E.g.:

- $\hat{\rho}(r, p)$ where $\hat{\rho}$: electrons, (r, p) : nuclei
- $\hat{\rho}[A]$ where $\hat{\rho}$: $e^+ e^-$, A : e.m. field
- $\hat{\rho}(n)$ where $\hat{\rho}$: Q-dot, n : charge count
- $\hat{\rho}(k)$ where $\hat{\rho}$: Q-system, k : measurement outcome

Measurement

What happens to $\hat{\rho}$ under measurement of c.s.o.p. $\{\hat{P}_x\}$?

- *Text-book formalism:* $\hat{\rho}$ jumps randomly to the conditional $\hat{\rho}_x$,

$$\hat{\rho} \longrightarrow \hat{\rho}_x = \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \hat{P}_x \hat{\rho} \hat{P}_x \text{ with probability } \rho(x) = \text{tr}(\hat{P}_x \hat{\rho} \hat{P}_x).$$

- *Hybrid formalism:* $\hat{\rho}$ jumps to the hybrid density $\hat{\rho}(x)$,

$$\hat{\rho} \longrightarrow \hat{\rho}(x) = \hat{P}_x \hat{\rho} \hat{P}_x.$$

Generically: $\hat{\rho} \longrightarrow \hat{\rho}(x) = \hat{M}_x \hat{\rho} \hat{M}_x^\dagger$ where $\sum_x \hat{M}_x^\dagger \hat{M}_x = \hat{I}$.

E.g.: unsharp measurement of \hat{q}

$$\hat{M}_x = \hat{M}_x^\dagger = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/4} \exp[-(\hat{q} - x)^2/4\sigma^2]$$

$$\hat{\rho} \longrightarrow \hat{\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{[-(\hat{q}-x)^2/4\sigma^2]} \hat{\rho} e^{[-(\hat{q}-x)^2/4\sigma^2]}$$

Canonical hybrid dynamics?

- *Hybrid canonical bracket*, with hybrid Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(q, p)$:

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}(q, p)}{dt} = -i[\hat{H}(q, p), \hat{\rho}(q, p)] + \mathbf{Herm}\{H(q, p), \hat{\rho}(q, p)\}_{\text{Poisson}}$$
 Fatally wrong: $\hat{\rho}(q, p) \geq 0$ is not preserved!
 Positivity is preserved if we add noise to the r.h.s.

- *Partial Husimi projection*, from unitary dynamics of Q-system + Q-harmonic-oscillator: $d\hat{\rho}/dt = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]$.
 Generate hybrid state and ME by partial Husimi projection:

$$\hat{\rho}(q, p) = \text{tr}_{\text{osc}} ((\hat{1} \otimes |q, p\rangle\langle q, p|)\hat{\rho})$$

$$d\hat{\rho}/dt = -i\text{tr}_{\text{osc}} ((\hat{1} \otimes |q, p\rangle\langle q, p|)[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}])$$

Yields canonical hybrid bracket plus “noisy” terms.

$\hat{\rho}(q, p) \geq 0$ preserved by construction.

Hybrid dynamics

- Markovian Classical ME (Pauli):

$$\dot{\rho}(x) = -\partial_x v(x)\rho(x) + \sum_y (T(x, y)\rho(y) - T(y, x)\rho(x)), \quad T(x, y) \geq 0$$

$$\text{diffusion: } \dot{\rho}(x) = D\partial_x^2 \rho(x), \quad T(x, y) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{1/\tau}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}} e^{-[(x-y)^2/4D\tau]}$$

- Markovian Quantum ME (GKLS):

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}} = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + \sum_{\alpha} (\hat{F}_{\alpha} \hat{\rho} \hat{F}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{F}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{F}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho} \})$$

$$\text{decoherence: } \dot{\hat{\rho}} = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] - D[\hat{q}, [\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}]], \quad \hat{F} = \hat{F}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{2D}\hat{q}$$

- Generic Markovian Hybrid ME ('Pauli-GKLS'):

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{\rho}}(x) = & -i[\hat{H}(x), \hat{\rho}(x)] + \\ & + \sum_{y, \alpha} \left(\hat{F}_{\alpha}(x, y) \hat{\rho}(y) \hat{F}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x, y) - \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{F}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(y, x) \hat{F}_{\alpha}(y, x), \hat{\rho}(x) \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(Alicki & Kryszewski 2004, D. 2014)

Hybrid ME: Derivation

- Embed Hybrid into a bigger Quantum:

$$\hat{\rho}(x) \rightarrow \hat{\rho} = \sum_x \hat{\rho}(x) \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|, \quad \hat{H}(x) \rightarrow \hat{H} = \sum_x \hat{H}(x) \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|$$

- Assume GKLS ME:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}} = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + \hat{F}\hat{\rho}\hat{F}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{\hat{F}^\dagger\hat{F}, \hat{\rho}\}$$

- Project back by $\hat{I} \otimes |x\rangle\langle x|$, introduce $\hat{F}(x, y) = \text{tr}'[(\hat{I} \otimes |y\rangle\langle x|)\hat{F}]$
- $$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{\rho}}(x) = & -i[\hat{H}(x), \hat{\rho}(x)] + \\ & + \sum_y \left[\hat{F}(x, y)\hat{\rho}(y)\hat{F}^\dagger(x, y) - \frac{1}{2}\{\hat{F}^\dagger(y, x)\hat{F}(y, x), \hat{\rho}(x)\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

That's general (Markovian) hybrid ME if $\hat{F}(x, y)$ is regular.

But, e.g., $\hat{F}(x, y) \sim \delta'(x - y)$, yields different forms.

Coming example: \hat{F} contains $(\partial_x|x\rangle)\langle x|$.

Case study: Q-monitoring

$\hat{\rho}$: Q-particle, \dot{x} : monitored value of \hat{q}

- Naive hybrid ME:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}(x) = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(x)] - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x\{\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}(x)\}$$

Feature: $d\langle x \rangle/dt = \langle \hat{q} \rangle$

Problem: term $-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x\{\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}(x)\}$ violates positivity of $\hat{\rho}(x)$

- Try GKLS ME for $\hat{\rho}$ in 'big' space, choose

$$\hat{F} = \frac{\hat{q}}{\sqrt{2D}} \otimes \hat{I} + \sqrt{2D} \hat{I} \otimes \int \frac{\partial |x\rangle}{\partial x} \langle x| dx \Rightarrow$$

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}(x) = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(x)] - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x\{\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}(x)\} - \frac{1}{16D}[\hat{q}, [\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}(x)]] + D\partial_x^2\hat{\rho}(x)$$

That's Hybrid ME of Q-monitoring \hat{q} (D. 2014).

Equivalent with the Ito-formalism (Belavkin 1988, D. 1988) of Q-monitoring of \hat{q} .

(Similar HMEs: Bauer & Bernard & Tilloy 2014)

Summary

- Q-measurements \Rightarrow foundational hybrids:

$$\hat{\rho} \longrightarrow \hat{P}_x \hat{\rho} \hat{P}_x \equiv \hat{\rho}(x)$$

- Pauli-GKLS theorem is missing for HME. Instead:

$$\text{dilate: } \hat{\rho}(x) \Rightarrow \hat{\rho}, \quad \text{project: } \hat{\rho} = \mathcal{L}_{GKLS} \hat{\rho} \Rightarrow \dot{\hat{\rho}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{hybr} \hat{\rho}(x)$$

- Time-continuous Q-measurement \Rightarrow foundational HME.

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}(x) = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(x)] - \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \{ \hat{q}, \hat{\rho}(x) \} - \frac{1}{16D} [\hat{q}, [\hat{q}, \hat{\rho}(x)]] + D \partial_x^2 \hat{\rho}(x)$$